ISSN 2354-8428 e-ISSN 2598-8727

JURNAL KEPERAWATAN

KOMPREHENSIF

COMPREHENSIVE NURSING JOURNAL

Published by:

Vol. 9 No. 4, October 2023

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Keperawatan PPNI Jawa Barat







Research Article

Factors Associated with Glycemic Control Among Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross-Sectional Study

Sri Wahyuni^{1*} | Christina Dewi Prasetyowati² | Yanuar Eka Pujiastutik³

^{1,2}Nurse Profession Program, Faculty of Health Institut Ilmu Kesehatan Bhakti Wiyata Kediri, Indonesia

³Bachelor of Nursing Program, Faculty of Health Institut Ilmu Kesehatan Bhakti Wiyata Kediri, Indonesia

*contact

sri.wahyuni@iik.ac.id

Received: 04/09/2023 Revised: 18/10/2023 Accepted: 30/10/2023 Online: 31/10/2023 Published: 31/10/2023

Abstract

Aims: Even though the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Indonesia continues to increase, research data on glycemic management, which is the primary strategy for reducing complications of diabetes mellitus, is still limited. In this study, an evaluation of glycemic control status and related factors was conducted in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Methods: This research was a cross-sectional study with 115 type 2 diabetes adults who came to the Hospital in Kediri for treatment. Data was collected using the UK Diabetes and Diet Questionnaire (UKDDQ) questionnaire, which consists of 24 questions and the Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (GLTEQ), along with a blood glucose check between July and August 2023. The glycemic level was assessed using a random blood glucose level, and 'poor glycemic control' was defined when the blood glucose level was >200 mg/dL. SPSS was used for descriptive analyses and inferential statistics by chi-square test to analyze the relationship between patients glycemic control status and its relevant risk factors, including demographics, dietary control, and physical activity.

Results: Only 26.1% of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at Aura Syifa Kediri Hospital have good glycemic control. In bivariate analysis, gender, education, control status of diet, and physical activity (P values 0.05) were significantly correlated with glycemic control. Meanwhile, age, marital status, employment status, and duration of diabetes mellitus (p-value> 0.05) were not associated with glycemic control.

Conclusion: The prevalence of good glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients remains low. Based on this research, we found that gender, education, diet control, and physical activity were influencing factors in this problem. Publicity and education regarding the importance of glycemic control should be developed for type 2 diabetes patients, and targeted interventions should be made for risk groups.

Keywords:

Cross-sectional, glycemic control, type 2 diabetes mellitus,

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is characterized by hyperglycemia caused by insulin deficiency secretion, insulin impairment or both. Prolonged hyperglycemia due to diabetes can cause long-term permanent organ damage, including organ failure or dysfunction, such as heart disease, blood vessels and nerves impairment, kidney failure, and eye dysfunction (1). Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) makes up about 85 to 95% of all cases of diabetes in high-income countries, with a higher percentage in low and middle-income countries due to rapid sociocultural changes, ageing







populations, growing urbanization, decreased physical activity and unhealthy lifestyles and behavioural patterns (2).

Diabetes is a massive and quickly expanding global health issue. The IDF estimates that 463 million people had diabetes in 2019, with 578 million expected by 2030 and 700 million by 2045 (3)(4). In 2013, Indonesia ranked seventh as the country with the highest number of diabetes cases, with 5.8% of cases or roughly 8.5 million cases, topped by women with 4.9 million cases and men with 3.6 million cases (5)(6). According to the Indonesian health profile, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus in East Java is 2.6%. East Java has the sixth-highest rate of diabetes mellitus in Indonesia (7). Nowadays, the prevalence of T2DM is rapidly increasing due to inactive lifestyles and the consumption of unhealthy foods (8). T2DM can cause various complications, such as retinopathy, kidney disease, ulcers, skin and neuropathy, atherosclerosis. These complications can significantly lower the quality of life, lead to premature death, and cause a substantial economic burden on both individuals and society (9). However, the management and regulation of T2DM in Indonesia are currently inadequate (10).

Good control of blood sugar levels is crucial for postponing the onset of T2DM and minimizing its related complications (11). Polyuria and polydipsia, two signs of hyperglycemia, as well as its direct complications (prolonged wound healing time, diabetic ketoacidosis, dehydration, hypertonic hyperglycemia syndrome, and coma due to diabetes), may result from inadequate glycemic management (12). Sustainable moderate glycemic control can decrease the incidence of microvascular and macrovascular problems (13). Another that financial difficulty, study found psychosocial and educational issues. attainment are additional factors that affect glycemic control, age, and sex (14). Other factors influencing blood glucose levels include disease duration, medication

adherence, dietary considerations, and physical activity (15)(10).

Therefore, adequate glycemic control in T2DM patients can prevent short-term complications, reduce the risk of long-term complications, and minimize resource use and healthcare costs (16). So, there is a need to pay attention to glycemic control. In its 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), the Indonesian government aims to reduce the premature death rate due to non-communicable diseases by one-third, focusing on four diseases, one of which is DM (17). This research aimed to identify factors related to glycemic control in Kediri type 2 diabetes patients. This will improve glycemic control and prevent diabetic complications in this population.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted on diabetic patients at the Hospital at Kediri-East Java in July and August 2023. In this study, demographic characteristics, duration of DM, diet, physical activity, and blood glucose levels were observed. Data collection used a questionnaire given to respondents who came to Aura Syifa Hospital, Kediri. At the beginning of the survey, we describe the purpose of this study and the details of informed consent. Participants who agreed to participate were asked to complete a consent form.

Respondents of this research were 37–70 years old with T2DM, did not have dementia or mental disorders, and were willing to become respondents. Respondents who did not complete the questionnaire were excluded. We got 115 respondents by G-Power application with an α error probability of 0.05 and a power of 0.95 and taken by convenience sampling.

There are four sections on the questionnaire: demographics, diabetes diet, physical activity, and glycemic control. Demographics include sex, gender, level of education, marital status, occupation, and duration of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes diet







was measured using the UK Diabetes and Diet Questionnaire (UKDDQ) questionnaire, which consists of 24 questions. The measurement results will be divided into two criteria: a controlled diet if you eat more than twice a week and eat well, and an uncontrolled diet if you eat more than once a week and eating is not good (18). In this research, the Cronbach alpha value for UKDDQ was 0.765. Physical activity (PA) was assessed by a modified questionnaire of the Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (GLTEQ) and the Advisory Committee for Americans. We calculated patients' metabolic equivalent of task (MET)-h/week by those instruments. Subjects with a total MET-h/week score ≥ 7.5 MET-h/week are defined as active physical activity, while insufficient physical activity is <7.5 MET-h/week (19). Blood glucose levels in peripheral blood were measured using a glucometer with good glycemic control (<200 mg/dl) uncontrolled blood sugar (≥200 mg/dl) criteria.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS. Demographic characteristics were

represented using frequency distributions. Bivariate analysis determined the correlation between the independent and the dependent variables. The Chi-square test was performed to evaluate the glycemic control states of T2DM patients with demographics, diabetes duration, diet, and physical activity. The statistically significant variables had a p-value <0.05. The Strada Indonesia Institute of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee has approved this study.

RESULTS

This research was conducted in July-August 2023. This study involved 115 patients, 56.52% of whom were women. Demographic data for the qualitative variables is presented in Table 1. The majority of patients were >50 years old, had Less than a Bachelor's degree, were married, worked, and had had diabetes for ≥5 years. Uncontrolled diet frequency: 86 (74.78%), physical activity (MET-h/week): <7.5 (80%), poorly controlled glycemic index: 85 (74%).

Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients with T2DM

| Variable category | Characteristics | N | % |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----|-------|
| Sex | Male | 50 | 43.48 |
| | Female | 65 | 56.52 |
| Age (yr) | ≤50 | 40 | 34.78 |
| | >50 | 75 | 65.22 |
| Education | Less than Bachelor's | 91 | 79 |
| | degree | | |
| | Bachelor's degree or | 24 | 21 |
| | more | | |
| Marital status | Married | 103 | 89.56 |
| | Divorce, widow/er | 12 | 10.43 |
| Occupation | Jobless/ Retirement | 48 | 41.74 |
| | Work | 67 | 58.26 |
| Duration of diabetes | <5 years | 65 | 41.52 |
| | ≥5 years | 50 | 43.48 |
| Diet control status | Uncontrolled | 86 | 74.78 |
| | Controlled | 29 | 25.22 |







| Physical activity (MET-h/week) | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|----|----|--|--|--|
| | <7.5 | 92 | 80 | | | |
| | ≥7.5 | 23 | 20 | | | |
| Glycemic control | | | | | | |
| | <200 | 30 | 26 | | | |
| | ≥200 | 85 | 74 | | | |

Most of the uncontrolled glycemic status are female: 56 (48.7%), age> 50 years 54 (47%), less than Bachelor's degree 74 (64.3%), married status 77 (67%), working 45 (29.6%), duration of diabetes <5 years 51 (44.3%), uncontrolled diet 76 (66%), and physical activity <7.5 (MET-h/week) 77 (67%). In Table 2, glycemic control status has a significant relationship with sex (p = 0.001), education (p = 0.001), diet control (p = 0.001), and physical activity (p = 0.02). However, glycemic control status did not have a significant relationship with sex, marital status, occupation, or duration of diabetes (p > 0.05).

Table 2. Univariate analysis of factors associated with glycemic control

| Factors | Characteristics | Good n % | Uncontrolled n % | *P-value |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|
| Sex | | | | |
| | Male | 21 (18.3) | 29 (25.2) | 0.001 |
| | Female | 9 (7.8) | 56 (48.7) | |
| Age (yr) | | | | |
| | ≤50 | 9 (7.8) | 31 (27) | 0.522 |
| | >50 | 21 (18.2) | 54 (47) | |
| Education | | | | |
| | Less than Bachelor's | 17 (14.8) | 74 (64.3) | 0.001 |
| | degree | | | |
| | Bachelor's degree or | 13 (11.3) | 11 (9.6) | |
| | more | | | |
| Marital status | | | | |
| | Married | 26 (22.6) | 77 (67) | 0.546 |
| | Divorce, widow/er | 4 (3.4) | 8 (7) | |
| Occupation | | | | |
| | Not working/ retiring | 8 (7) | 40 (34.8) | 0.052 |
| | Work | 22 (19.1) | 45 (39.1) | |
| Duration of | | | | |
| diabetes | <5 years | 14 (12.2) | 51 (44.3) | 0.205 |
| | ≥5 years | 16 (13.9) | 34 (29.6) | |
| Diet control statu | s | | | |
| | Uncontrolled | 10 (8.7) | 76 (66) | 0.001 |
| | Controlled | 20 (17.4) | 9 (7.9) | |
| Physical activity (| MET-h/week) | | | |
| | <7.5 | 15 (13) | 77 (67) | 0.002 |
| | ≥7.5 | 15 (13) | 8 (7) | |
| | | | | |

^{*}Chi-square test





DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study, we assessed the glycemic control status and related factors with type 2 diabetes at Aura Syifa Hospital in Kediri. The findings revealed that the majority of them were female. These findings differ from past studies, which concluded that 62% (20), 52.3% (21) and 52% (2) of respondents were male. The majority of respondents are older than 50, married, and working. The findings of this study were consistent with prior studies, which found that 66.5% were above the age of 51, 81.2% were married, and 74.3% were working (20). More than half of the respondents suffered from T2DM for five years. The majority of respondents had less than a bachelor's degree. The findings of this study were consistent with prior research, which found that 87% had less education than a bachelor's degree (22).

The results of this study were comparable to previous studies, which reported that 50.4% of respondents had DM <5 years (23) but were different from previous studies in that 58.9% of respondents >7 years had T2DM (24). Longer diabetes duration has been linked to poor glycemic control, probably due to gradually reduced insulin production over time due to β-cell failure, making dietary changes or oral medicines ineffective at managing glycemic control (25). The majority of research results show uncontrolled diets and insufficient physical activity. These results were different from previous studies, which presented 226 (71%) controlled diets and 208 (73%) active physical activity (26). The results of this study indicate that most T2DM patients have poor glycemic control. These findings were consistent with previous research, which indicated that 70.9% (16), 65% (27), and 65% (4) of respondents had poor glycemic control. These results indicate the need for more effective work on diabetes management, as maintaining adequate glycemic control is the main therapeutic goal for all diabetic patients (21).

According to the outcome of this study, there was a relationship between gender, nutrition control, and physical activity. No relationship was revealed between age, marital status, occupation, and duration of type 2 diabetes. The results presented coincided with previous research that reported a control diet (p-value = 0.024) and physical activity (p-value = 0.010) (28). Other studies report that diabetic patients who are physically active and manage their diet well will have better glycemic control abilities (29). Previous analyses also found a significant correlation between low levels of physical activity and poor glycemic control because poor glycemic control was less common among individuals who engaged in regular physical exercise than those who engaged in regular activity (30). According to other research findings, there was a significant relationship between poor glycemic control in T2DM patients who develop secondary medical issues and gender (female), age, diabetes duration, and type of treatment (31).

The reasons for poor glycemic control are difficult to determine. This might be due to a lack of complete diabetes treatment, such as dietary habits, physical activity, and diabetes mellitus knowledge, or it can be linked to the disease process. Furthermore, because of their low socioeconomic level, this group of at-risk people has prioritized treatment as a second priority after family requirements. We must raise public awareness and educate the public on the importance of controlling glycemic levels for the health of T2DM patients, particularly those who live in rural areas with limited access to health care. At the same time, T2DM patients' awareness of glycemic control and self-management should be increased.

This research had limitations, ruling out the association between potential risk factors and outcomes, and had a relatively short study duration. This made it impossible to assess all possible risk factors. Nonetheless, we believe this study is novel in that its





findings reflect the increasing prevalence of poor glycemic control in individuals with type 2 diabetes.

CONCLUSION

Only a few T2DM Patients at Aura Syifa Hospital had Good Glycemic Control. This research found that gender, education, diet and physical activity were associated with glycemic control of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients. Meanwhile, age, marital status, employment status, and duration of diabetes mellitus were not. T2DM patients' awareness and knowledge of the importance of glycemic management need to be increased to manage T2DM patients' healthiness, especially those with low education or central obesity in the early stages of their disease. Healthcare providers need greater efforts to help more patients achieve good glycemic control.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology (KEMENDIKBUDRISTEK) and the Bhakti Wiyata Institute of Health Sciences Kediri for their support and funding to complete this research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Nduati NJ. Factors Associated With Glycemic Control among Type 2 Diabetes Patients Attending Mathari National Teaching Hospital, Nairobi Kenya. J Endocrinol Diabetes . 2016;3(6):1–11.
- 2. Yigazu DM, Desse TA. Glycemic control and associated factors among type 2 diabetic patients at Shanan Gibe Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):1–6.
- 3. Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas,

- 9th edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract [Internet]. 2019;157(107843):1–10. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.20 19.107843
- 4. Azzam MM, Ibrahim AA, Abd El-Ghany MI. Factors affecting glycemic control among Egyptian people with diabetes attending primary health care facilities in Mansoura District. Egypt J Intern Med. 2021;33(1):1–10.
- 5. (ADA) ADA. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care, 2015;38.
- 6. (ADA) ADA. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Vol. 37, Diabetes Care. 2014.
- 7. Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia. Profil Kesehatan RI 2017 [Internet]. Jakarta; 2018. Available from: https://pusdatin.kemkes.go.id/resources/download/pusdatin/profil-kesehatan-indonesia/Profil-kesehatan-Indonesia-tahun-2017.
- 8. Babaniamansour S, Aliniagerdroudbari E, Niroomand M. Glycemic control and associated factors among Iranian population with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a cross-sectional study. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2020;19(2):933–40.
- 9. Yang T, Zheng R, Chen Q, Mao Y. Current status of treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Ningbo, China. J Clin Lab Anal. 2019;33(3):2–7.
- 10. Kaaffah S, Soewondo P, Riyadina W, Renaldi FS, Sauriasari R. Adherence to treatment and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A 4-year follow-up pm bogor cohort study, Indonesia. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2021;15:2467–77.
- 11. Hu C, Jia W. Diabetes in China: Epidemiology and genetic risk factors and their clinical utility in personalized medication. Diabetes. 2018;67(1):3–11.







- 12. Xing XY, Wang XY, Fang X, Xu JQ, Chen YJ, Xu W, et al. Glycemic control and its influencing factors in type 2 diabetes patients in Anhui, China. Front Public Heal. 2022;10(T D):1–10.
- 13. Nathan DM. The diabetes control and complications trial/epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications study at 30 years: Overview. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(1):9–16.
- 14. Radwan M, Elsous A, Al-Sharif H, Abu Mustafa A. Glycemic control among primary care patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Gaza Strip, Palestine. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2018;9(1):3–14.
- 15. Aloudah NM, Scott NW, Aljadhey HS, Araujo-Soares V, Alrubeaan KA, Watson MC. Medication adherence among patients with type 2 diabetes: A mixed methods study. PLoS One. 2018;13(12):1–18.
- 16. Kassahun T, Eshetie T, Gesesew H. Factors associated with glycemic control among adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional survey in Ethiopia Endocrine Disorders. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9(1):1–6.
- 17. Sulistyowati L. DM management policy in Indonesia. Symposium WDD. 2017.
- 18. England CY, Thompson JL, Jago R, Cooper AR, Andrews RC. Development of a brief, reliable and valid diet assessment tool for impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes: The UK Diabetes and Diet Questionnaire. Public Health Nutr. 2017;20(2):191–9.
- 19. Rias YA, Tsai HT, Thato R, Apriyanto BS, Chou KR, Ho SC, et al. Synergistic Interactions of Insufficient Physical Activity and a High Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index on Psychological Problems in Indonesians With Type 2 Diabetes

- Mellitus. Biol Res Nurs. 2023;0(0):1–11.
- 20. Tefera YA, Bishu KG, Gebregziabher M, Dawson AZ, Egede LE. Source of Education, Source of Care, Access to Glucometers, and Independent Correlates of Diabetes Knowledge in Ethiopian Adults with Diabetes. J Natl Med Assoc [Internet]. 2018;1–13. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnma.2018.10.008
- 21. Fiseha T, Alemayehu E, Kassahun W, Adamu A, Gebreweld A. Factors associated with glycemic control among diabetic adult out-patients in Northeast Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes [Internet]. 2018;11(1):4–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3423-5
- 22. Duarte FG, Da Silva Moreira S, Almeida MDCC, De Souza Teles CA, Andrade CS, Reingold AL, et al. Sex differences and correlates of poor glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: A cross-sectional study in Brazil and Venezuela. BMJ Open. 2019;9(3):1–8.
- 23. Arafa AE, Mohamed A, Saleh LHM. The effect of a hospital-based awareness program on the knowledge of patients with type 2 diabetes in South Egypt. Int J Heal Promot Educ [Internet]. 2020;58(6):311–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/14635240. 2019.1695528
- 24. Nigussie S, Birhan N, Amare F, Mengistu G, Adem F, Abegaz TM. Rate of glycemic control and associated factors among type two diabetes mellitus patients Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2021;16(5 May):1-12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.p one.0251506
- 25. Mohamed HAE, Al-kohji SM, Makhlouf MME din, Osman SO. Factors Associated with Glycemic Control among Type 2 Diabetic





p-ISSN: 2354 8428 | e-ISSN: 2598 8727



- Patients Attending Primary Health Care Centers in Qatar, a Cross-Sectional Study. J Community Med Public Heal. 2020;4(2):1–11.
- 26. Huo L, Deng W, Shaw JE, Magliano DJ, Zhang P, McGuire HC, et al. Factors associated with glycemic control in type 1 diabetes patients in China: A cross-sectional study. J Diabetes Investig. 2020;11(6):1575–82.
- 27. Teklay G, Hussien J, Tesfaye D. TYPE 2 DM IN JUSU.pdf. J Med Sci. 2013;13(3):578-84.
- 28. Bukhsh A, Khan TM, Nawaz MS, Ahmed HS, Chan KG, Lee LH, et al. Association of diabetes-related self-care activities with glycemic control of patients with type 2 diabetes in Pakistan. Patient Prefer Adherence [Internet]. 2018;12:2377–85. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S177314

- 29. Colberg SR, Kannane J, Diawara N. Physical activity, dietary patterns and glycemic management in active individuals with type 1 diabetes: An online survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(17):1–20.
- 30. Alzaheb RA, Altemani AH. The prevalence and determinants of poor glycemic control among adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia. Diabetes, Metab Syndr Obes. 2018;11:15–21.
- 31. Haghighatpanah M, Nejad ASM, Haghighatpanah M, Thunga G, Mallayasamy S. Factors that correlate with poor glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with complications. Osong Public Heal Res Perspect. 2018;9(4):167–74.